



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/427,639	10/27/1999	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	0756-2053	3558	
22204	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			NELSON, ALECIA DIANE		
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2675		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/427,63	39	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alecia D. I	Nelson	2675				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period fo	• •	00 000 V 10 00T T	0 EVDIDE - 140NEUV	(a) ==a				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and wiwill, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 <i>March 2005</i>.</u>						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the a	application.	,					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
´	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority2. Certified copies of the priority			ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies				Stage			
	application from the Internation							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
	7,							
_					٠.			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
<i>'</i> —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admittance of prior art in view of Ernstoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,090,219) Ohwada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,750,813), and Hata et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,837).

With reference to claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9 the Applicant discusses the conventional art of a field sequential driving method in which one image frame is divided into three subframes and each one of the red, green and blue backlights are turned on

Art Unit: 2675

for one-third frame duration to display an image corresponding to that color for one-third frame duration. The Applicant also discusses that the video signal supplied to the liquid crystal panel is obtained by compressing an original red, green, and blue video signal entered from outside to one-third the time axis direction, which relates to the functionality of the claimed n-speed field sequential color signal generation circuit, and that the red, green and blue LEDs are turned on successively during their corresponding LED turn-on periods (Tr, Tg, Tb), which relates to the functionality of the claimed backlight (see page 2, line11-page 3, line 21).

While teaching all above, the admitted prior art fails to discuss displaying each of the red, green, and blue images in each of the subframes. Even though it is taught that the display device of the admitted prior art is an AM-LCD there is no discussion of the specific components of the LCD panel as claimed.

Ernstoff et al. teaches a liquid crystal field sequential color display in which one image frame comprises 2 fields, each of which comprises a red image, a green image, and a blue image (see column 7, line 68-column 8, line 34). With reference to **claims 2**, **4, and 7** Ernstoff et al. teaches that the frame comprises 2 fields, however it would be possible to have 3 fields in each frame by shortening the duration of each field thereby further reducing the amount of flicker seen by the observer. With further reference to **claims 3 and 6**, Ernstoff et al. teaches that three light sources (204, 206, 208) representing each of the primary colors are operated one at a time, in a repetitive sequence by switch (216), at a rate such that the complete 3-color sequence is completed more rapidly than the flicker fusion frequency. A synchronizing means (222)

Art Unit: 2675

controls switching means (216) supplying power to the light sources in the manner indicated in Fig. 10 (see column 7, lines 40-58).

Ohwada et al. teaches an AM-LCD wherein the display comprises a glass substrate, which is known in the art to have an insulating surface, wherein the active matrix circuit (1), the driver circuits (4, 5), and a voltage-timing transforming circuit (7) and all or a part of a timing generating circuit (8) are formed in the form of thin film transistors on a glass substrate (see column 3, lines 15-20). While teaching the usage of the TFT circuits as claimed, there fails to be any discussion towards the TFT's having a channel region comprising crystallized silicon, however this is conventional to those skilled in the art.

Hata et al. teaches an AM-LCD composed of thin-film transistors wherein the TFT has a channel region comprising crystallized silicon (see column 1, lines 8-30), wherein the TFT has a low concentration impurity region (10, 20) adjacent to the channel forming region (12b) (see column 6, lines 51-56, column 7, lines 30-48).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow displaying RGB images in each subframe as taught by Ernstoff et al. along with the drive method of the admitted prior art in order to provide an AM-LCD having high resolution and high brightness. Further it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow the AM-LCD as taught by the admitted prior art and Ernstoff et al. to be constructed similar to that which is taught by Ohwada et al. and Hata et al. in order to thereby allow all or a majority of the circuitry to be composed as an integrated circuit which requires less space in order and to provide

Art Unit: 2675

stabilization of characteristics of the transistor in order to provide the user with a liquid crystal field sequential display that has improved display quality and a reduced amount of flicker observed by the user.

With reference to **claims 5 and 8**, none of the reference teach that the liquid crystal display is a ferroelectric liquid crystal display device, however as explained above Ohwada et al. does teach the usage of a LCD device wherein a ferroelectric type liquid crystal is well known type to be used in display device.

4. Claims 10-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admittance of prior art in view of Ernstoff et al., Ohwada et al., and Hata et al. as applied to claims 3 and 9 above, and further in view of McDowall et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,528,262).

With reference to the claims neither the admittance of prior art, Ernstoff et al., nor Ohwada et al. teach the particular type of device that contain the liquid crystal device.

McDowall et al. teaches, with specific reference to claims 10 and 21, that construction of a color display with particular advantages for head mounted and head coupled displays (see abstract. However, with reference to claims 11-20 and 22-31, McDowall et al. further states field sequential displays are of great interest in situations that require small color displays (see column 2, lines 33-44).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for the liquid crystal display device as taught by the admitted prior art, Ernstoff et

Art Unit: 2675

al., Ohwada et al., and Hata et al. having the advantages as explained above, including a reduction in noticeable flickering, to be constructed in a plurality of different devices to thereby increase the marketability of the product.

5. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admittance of prior art in view of Ernstoff et al., Ohwada et al, Hata et al., and Konno et al (U.S. patent No. (5,327,229).

With reference to claims 32-34 the Applicant discusses the conventional art of a field sequential driving method in which one image frame is divided into three subframes and each one of the red, green and blue backlights are turned on for one-third frame duration to display an image corresponding to that color for one-third frame duration. The Applicant also discusses that the video signal supplied to the liquid crystal panel is obtained by compressing an original red, green, and blue video signal entered from outside to one-third the time axis direction, which relates to the functionality of the claimed n-speed field sequential color signal generation circuit, and that the red, green and blue LEDs are turned on successively during their corresponding LED turn-on periods (Tr, Tg, Tb), which relates to the functionality of the claimed backlight (see page 2, line11-page 3, line 21).

The admitted prior art fails to discuss displaying each of the red, green, and blue images in each of the subframes. Even though it is taught that the display device of the conventional art is an AM-LCD there is no discussion of the specific components of the LCD panel as claimed.

Art Unit: 2675

Ernstoff et al. teaches a liquid crystal field sequential color display in which one image frame comprises 2 fields, each of which comprises a red image, a green image, and a blue image (see column 7, line 68-column 8, line 34). Ernstoff et al. teaches that the frame comprises 2 fields, however it would be possible to have 3 fields in each frame by shortening the duration of each field thereby further reducing the amount of flicker seen by the observer. Ernstoff et al. also teaches that three light sources (204, 206, 208) representing each of the primary colors are operated one at a time, in a repetitive sequence by switch (216), at a rate such that the complete 3-color sequence is completed more rapidly than the flicker fusion frequency. A synchronizing means (222) controls switching means (216) supplying power to the light sources in the manner indicated in Fig. 10 (see column 7, lines 40-58).

Ohwada et al. teaches an AM-LCD wherein the display comprises a glass substrate, which is known in the art to have an insulating surface, wherein the active matrix circuit (1), the driver circuits (4, 5), and a voltage-timing transforming circuit (7) and all or a part of a timing generating circuit (8) are formed in the form of thin film transistors on a glass substrate (see column 3, lines 15-20). While teaching the usage of the TFT circuits as claimed, there fails to be any discussion towards the TFT's having a channel region comprising crystallized silicon, however this is conventional to those skilled in the art.

Hata et al. teaches an AM-LCD composed of thin-film transistors wherein the TFT has a channel region comprising crystallized silicon (see column 1, lines 8-30),

Art Unit: 2675

wherein the TFT has a low concentration impurity region (10, 20) adjacent to the channel forming region (12b) (see column 6, lines 51-56, column 7, lines 30-48).

Konno et al. teaches the usage of a photo-conductive layer (23) in which the impedance thereof is fairly constant by controlling the light absorbance characteristics of the dielectric mirror (24) as such that a leakage of light is reduced and thereby providing a uniform amount of light received by the display.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow displaying RGB images in each subframe as taught by Ernstoff et al. along with the drive method of the admitted prior art in order to provide an AM-LCD having high resolution and high brightness. Further it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow the AM-LCD as taught by the admitted prior art and Ernstoff et al. to be constructed similar to that which is taught by Ohwada et al., Hata et al., Konno et al. in order to thereby allow all or a majority of the circuitry to be composed as an integrated circuit which requires less space, to provide stabilization of characteristics of the transistor, and to have a fairly constant impedance in order to provide the user with a liquid crystal field sequential display that has improved display quality and a reduced amount of flicker observed by the user.

6. Claims 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admittance of prior art in view of Ernstoff et al., Ohwada et al., Hata et al., and Konno et al. as applied to claims 32-34 above, and further in view of McDowall et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,528,262).

Art Unit: 2675

With reference to the claims neither the admittance of prior art, Ernstoff et al., nor Ohwada et al. teach the particular type of device that contain the liquid crystal device.

McDowall et al. teaches, with specific reference to **claim 35**, that construction of a color display with particular advantages for head mounted and head coupled displays (see abstract. However, with reference to **claims 36-45**, McDowall et al. further states field sequential displays are of great interest in situations that require small color displays (see column 2, lines 33-44).

Therefore it would have been obvious to allow for the liquid crystal display device with a reduction in noticeable flickering to be constructed in a plurality of different devices to thereby increase the marketability of the product.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2675

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is 571-272-7771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adn/ADN July 8, 2005 CHANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER